OFFICIAL 2011 PDPPR Risk Register

OPERATIONAL / STRATEGIC	Electoral Event	Ref	Risk Type	DESCRIPTION OF RISK (Risk description should include cause/risk event/consequence)	RISK RATING (prior to implementing	(must be vital to the Depts. success / be synonymous	CURRENT EXISTING CONTROLS/MITIGATION	CURRENT RESIDUAL RISK RATING (Taking	ACTION PLAN / FUTURE MITIGATION / OPPORTUNITIES TO BE EXPLORED	HOW WILL PROGRESS BE MEASURED (See guidance in tab C)	TARGET DATE (or review date if target unknown)	RESPONSIBLE OFFICER	ASSISTANT DIRECTOR / DIRECTOR	RISK CHAMPION	Open/ Closed
Strategic	By-electio	n RSK_00 1	Resources	Description: There is a risk that the necessary resources (people, budget, venues and printed materials) may not be available within the required timescales/critical dates for an unscheduled by-election. Cause: There is a requirement to deliver a by-election within 35 days of the Notice of By-Election being posted. The six month rule (date when a by-election can no longer be called and the vacancy to be published together with the scheduled May 2022 local elections) will start on 10 November 2021. Impact: The by-election may not be run as efficiently as expected; voters could be inconvenienced or disenfranchised	4 5 2	Staff with the necessary experience and knowledge can be appointed Print provider can produce and deliver the necessary polling materials according to the required specification and timeline The costs to deliver unscheduled elections can be met by	Contingency venues for polling stations have been identified Presiding Officers will operate a contingency polling service from their vehicle if the venue is compromised. Elections staff pool is sufficient to ensure by-election can be resourced	2 5 10		Venues are booked; all electoral activities can be accommodated as per requirements. Required number of experienced staff are appointed. Colleagues supporting key functions are available. Suppliers meet requirements within expected timeframes	10/11/2021	Glenda Favor- Ankersen	Giles Perritt	Maddie Halifax	Open
Operational	By-electio	n RSK_00 2	Resources	Description: There is a risk that multiple by-elections may be called. Cause: Various situations beyond our control can emerge which result in seats becoming vacant. Impact: This would increase the pressure on the electoral services budget (circa £15k per by-election) and add pressure onto the service team - delivery against statutory deadlines in other elements of the service could be at risk.	4 4 1		Analysis of additional costs and impact on service budget to be identified Decision to be taken on how by-elections will be resourced (accept additional pressure eon the budget and re-profile, or additional allocation from corporate centre?)	4 2 8		All electoral events, including any additional By-elections are efficiently delivered within all expected timelines and meet the electoral commission performance standards	10/11/2021	Glenda Favor- Ankersen	Giles Perritt	Maddie Halifax	Open
Operational	By-electio	n RSK_00	Resources	Description: Electors may not receive the correct polling material (poll cards, absent voter packs, ballot papers) Cause: The printed materials for poll may be incorrectly printed or incorrectly distributed Impact: Electors may be confused, inconvenienced or disenfranchised if they receive the wrong materials or if the materials do not arrive in the prescribed timeframe.		for their needs	Quality assurance checks on data transfers to printer Quality assurance checks on print proofs prior to production of materials Quality assurance checks of printed materials prior to distribution Checks of distributed materials received via staff elector contacts	2 4 8	QA process will be a key line of enquiry with potential suppliers as part of the print tender process QA processes reviewed and improved following each electoral event		10/11/2021	Glenda Favor- Ankersen	Giles Perritt	Maddie Halifax	Open
Operational	By-electio	n RSK_00	Resources	Description: There may not be sufficient experienced and capable staff available to complete all roles required to complete the polific a By-election; there may be unexpected staff absences/late drop-outs from the staff feam Cause: The by-election is delivered in a shorter timescale and the date of election falls within the school summer holidays - therefore key staff may already have leave booked impact: There may be delays or errors arising from use of inexperienced staff - electors and candidates may be inconvenienced and electoral commission service standards may not be met.		Staff appointed provide the expected level of customer service and have the required knowledge and capacity lectors are able to cast their vote as expected and are confident it will be counted as they interest confident it will be counted as they interest the expected level of customer service.	All roles are appointed to using experienced staff who understand the commitment required Sufficient resilience is built into the staff team to ensure we have back ups for all key roles (using core team, 'sleeping army' and project staff as needed)	2 5 10		All postal voting, polling and count functions are efficiently completed com		Glenda Favor- Ankersen	Giles Perritt	Maddie Halifax	Open
Operational	By-electio	n RSK_00 5	Resources	Description: Key venues may be lost at short notice preventing completion of the poli Cause: Unplanned event such arising in loss of access, power, building, or building is rendered unsafe Impact: There could be a delay to the conducting of the poli resulting in confusion, inconvenience or disenfranchisement of electors	3 4 1	All required venues are fully operational as required Contingency venues are available and recoration is possible with minard disruption to electoral processes All decires are able to complete the poll processes without inconvenience and within the expected timeframes	All venue bookings are confirmed at the earliest stages of an election being called venue are checked by electoral services staff to ensure they meet Venuesens. Contingency arrangements are planned and relevant suppliers and staff are briefed on contingency arrangements in case action is required at short notice (details are in the Departmental Recovery Plan)		Review of by-election contingency arrangements Review of by-election and any lessons captured to inform continual improvement planning	Bookings confirmed Venues visited and checks confirmed Completion of processes	10/11/2021	Glenda Favor- Ankersen	Giles Perritt	Maddie Halifax	Open
Operational		n RSK_00 6		Description: There may be delays in verifying the postal voling statements for the final collection of postal votes at the count venue Cause: The final collection of postal votes, which is anticipated to be very low in number, will be verified manually at the count venue removing the requirement for network connectivity and simplifying the count set up Impact: The count may take longer to complete	2 4 8	count The count is completed without delay	Projected volumes of postal voltes arriving at polling stations between 17:00 and 22:00 are very low (< 21 based on Stoke ward in May 2018 election on a 36% turn out) Projected turnout for the by-election is lower than for the May election due to school holidays and previous lower by-election turnouts Manual verification process has been mapped and is as lean as possible Manual process has built in QA checks, signature checks will be against privined spreadshed all postal volte applications ES Officer will call all Presiding Officers at 21:00 to collect final pre-close or pall sweep.	f		Monitoring of postal votes received the ensure it is in line with expected delivery flows Quality assurance checks of postal vote verifications to ensure accuracy and efficiency of process		Glenda Favor- Ankersen	Giles Perritt	Maddie Halifax	Open
Operational	PDPR Phase 1	RSK_00 1	Resources	Description: Polling Stations that have been identified as needing an additional inspecion to establish options for improvement may not be available within the required timeframe (Cause: Delay in securing a dedicated resource to deliver the PDPR combined with the core team having to focus on delivery of an unscheduled by-election has compressed the timeframe for PDPR preparation work to be completed – many key holders Venues are unavailable during summer holidays. Impact: Some poling station information may not be included in the ARO recommendations upon which the consultation will commence	4 2 8	Critical proposed improvements to the poling experience can be identified and consulted upon All issues that can be resolved within the PDPR process are included within the review Members, special groups and the public are able to view and comment on the proposals Additional ideas, suggestions and solutions can be obtained from the consultation process	Team members within electoral services have been trained on undertaking politing station inspections to ensure additional capacity whilst maintaining consistency. Additional inspections may need to be scheduled as key holders return/venues re-open	3 2 6	Understand if there is an opportunity to expand upon the proposed recommendations as part of the engagement process	Members report that key issues within their ward have been addressed as effectively as possible as part of the PDPR Critical issues raised by all stakenoiders have been include within the PDPR process. Solutions are identified where possible and implemented post PDPR	30/11/2021	Glenda Favor- Ankersen	Giles Perritt	Maddie Halifax	Open
Operational	PDPR Phase 2	RSK_00 2	Legislative	Description: Suitable Polling Stations cannot be identified in district Cause: Shortage of accessible public buildings in some highly residential areas impact. Voters in hoose areas cannot access a Polling Station that meets our desirable criteria.	4 3 1	2 A clear methodology for identifying polling station has been written and presented to decision makers to frame our recommendations. Critical success factors for identifying a suitable polling stations are outlined in this document.	The methodology suggests a range of systematic mitigating actions that can be put in place to ensure that voters are able to participate in the poll (out of district polling station/ review of district boundaries/postal vote)	4 2 8	None identified at 13/10/2021	Recommendations report pre- consultation and recommendations report post consultation will indicate progress.	30/11/2021	Glenda Favor- Ankersen	Giles Perritt	Maddie Halifax	Open

OFFICIAL 2021 PDPPR Roat

Strategic	PDPR Phase 2	RSK_00 Operation	Description: Achieving the required balance of value for money versus convenience of access for electorate may be difficult/unachievable in all poling stations. Cause: Limited availability of fit for purpose polling stations which meet all our criteria impact: Some stakeholders may not be satisfied with the options proposed	methodology documents provided as part of the Project Initiation Document. Those criteria were designed in cooperation with Councillors and stakeholders.	he Regular reviews of the projects initiation Documents to ensure the recommendations of the project still meet the criteria stated originally. In cases when those are not met, review of original proposal and tracking of changes; regular engagement with Steering groups; open and transparent access to the method statements which will be published on the website.	3 3 9	None identified at 13/10/2021	Review of Business Cases at Project key dates stated in PID via progress report	Dec-21	Glenda Favor- Ankersen	Giles Perritt	Maddie Halifax	Open
Operational	PDPR - Phase 3	RSK_00 Service 5 Delivery	Description: Increased pressure on the service to deliver the necessary [3 3 changes for use of new polling station and districts within compressed timescales Cause: Unscheduled election/referenda called in the period following the publication of the new polling stations and prior to the actions required to implement the changes being completed and to increased potential for errors; some new changes to polling stations may not be implemented in time.	polling station for 2022. Polling cards accurately reflect th	The PDPR implementation phase is thoroughly planned during the consultation phase. Changes are tracked and action taken to ensure that is new Polling Stations and new Polling districts can be implemented quickly and with a view to minimise confusion in the electors.	3 9	The delivery phase plan will have to be reviewed in parallel with the delivery plan for unscheduled elections.	TBC	01/03/2022	Glenda Favor- Ankersen	Giles Perritt	Maddie Halifax	Open
Operational	PDPR - Phase 3	RSK_00 Service 6 Delivery	Description: Unforeseen problems arise in use of the new polling stations during an election (accessibility, amenitles) Cause: The suitability of proposed polling stations has not been fully tested. Impact: Some voters may be inconvenienced; polling staff may have unforeseen problems to overcome	9 All polling stations meet standards set by the electoral commission in terms of access and amenities	All proposed Polling stations are visited to check their suitability against strict assessment criteria. Polling Station Assessment Forms are completed for all polling stations and kept up to date. New polling stations are identified and prioritised for inspection. Feedback is requested from inspectors and polling station staff after each elections. The capacity of polling stations will be tested against projected electorate numbers. Fully test the polling stations are considered to the polling stations.	3 9	The delivery phase plan will have to be reviewed in parallel with the delivery plan for unscheduled elections.	ТВС	01/03/2022	Glenda Favor- Ankersen	Giles Perritt	Maddie Halifax	Open
Operational	PDPR - Phase 3	RSK_00 Financial	Description: Potential unplanned increase in costs of providing changes to polling stations Cause: Unforeseen issues arising in use of new polling stations requiring mitigations to be implemented at cost to Plymouth City Council Impact: Costs incurred for delivering polling stations increases putting pressure on the budget	12 The review should not incur any substantial additional costs. Opportunities for savings will be identified as part of the review, for example by reducing the cost associated with using mobile polling stations.	Polling stations are visited to check their suitability. Full assessment form for each polling station, with up to date access rating. Consultation of all previous information relating to polling stations. Identified access issues resolved immediately, with costed options for improvements identified and planned and monitoret; risks for polling stations also identified including potential for additional costs to be incurred.	2 6	The delivery phase plan will have to be reviewed in parallel with the delivery plan for unscheduled elections.	TBC	10/12/2021	Glenda Favor- Ankersen	Giles Perritt	Maddie Halifax	Open
Strategic	PDPR - Phase 3	RSK_00 Reputational 8	Description: Failure to adequately communicate to stakeholders the purpose of the review, the criteria and how they can respond Cause: Stakeholders are unhappy with the outcome of the review impact: Adverse publicity from complaints in the media from residents experiencing unforeseen access issues	Compliance with Schedule A1 of 1983 Representation of the People's Act. Compliance with Disability Access Act 1995 and Equality Act 2010.	Compliance with best practice guides from Electoral Commission and information sought from other Local authorities. Fill individual assessment of all polling stations are completed and maintained, and a register of polling stations are recompleted and maintained, and a register of polling stations put in place. Access is made a priority during consultation phase and clearly identified on each form; relationship established with polling station venue Person in Charge to ensure any changes to the physical fabric of the building, access or use of the polling venue is communicated in advance/prevented where possible.	3 6	The delivery phase plan will have to be reviewed in parallel with the delivery plan for unscheduled elections.	ТВС	10/12/2021	Glenda Favor- Ankersen	Giles Perritt	Maddie Halifax	Open
Strategic	PDPR	RSK_00 Reputational	Description: Appeals to the Electoral Commission Cause: Slakeholders do not agree that the review was conducted in accordance with the electoral commission guidance so as to: meet the reasonable requirements of electors in the constituency - take sufficient account of disabled access to polling stations - take sufficient account of disabled access to polling stations - take sufficient account of disabled access to polling stations - take sufficient account of disabled access to polling stations - take sufficient account of disabled access to polling stations - take sufficient account of disabled access to polling stations - take sufficient account of disabled access to polling stations - take sufficient account of disabled access to polling stations - take sufficient account of disabled access to polling stations - take sufficient account of disabled access to polling stations - take sufficient account of disabled access to polling stations - take sufficient account of disabled access to polling stations - take sufficient account of disabled access to polling stations - take sufficient account of disabled access to polling stations - take sufficient account of disabled access to polling stations - take sufficient account of disabled access to polling stations - take sufficient account of disabled access to polling stations - take sufficient account of disabled access to polling stations - take sufficient account of disabled access to polling stations - take sufficient account of disabled access to polling stations - take sufficient account of disabled access to polling stations - take sufficient account of disabled access to polling stations - take sufficient account of disabled access to polling stations - take sufficient account of disabled access to polling stations - take sufficient account of disabled access to polling stations - take sufficient account of disabled access to polling stations - take sufficient account of disabled access to polling stations - take sufficient account of disabled access to pol	9 The review must evidence that it is meeting the reasonable requirements of electron in the constituency and that it is taking sufficient account of disabled access to polling stations	Method and Approach is published; criteria for prioritisation and change are developed with input from Steering Group; Polling stations are visited to check their suitability. Full assessment form for each polling station, with up to date access rating. Consultation with Disability Support Groups and Health professionals with qualifications/interest in disability	3 6	Visits with PCC occupational therapist Visits with disability support group representatives		Jan-22	Glenda Favor- Ankersen	Giles Perritt	Maddie Halifax	Open